



West Midlands Regional Lifestyle Survey 2005 | Countryside Agency Annex





West Midlands
Regional
Observatory

West Midlands Regional Lifestyle Survey: Countryside Agency Annex

Version 1.0

5 January 2006

Document Information

Title: West Midlands Regional Lifestyle Survey 2005;
Countryside Agency Annex

Creator: West Midlands Regional Observatory
West Midlands Public Health Observatory

Publisher: West Midlands Regional Observatory
West Midlands Public Health Observatory

Date created: 2006-01-05

Status: Version 1.0

Identifier:
<http://www.wmro.org/standardTemplate.aspx/Home/OurResearch/RegionalLifestyleSurvey/RegionalReport>

Document Contact: Chris Owen, chris.owen@wmro.org

(A full set of Document Information is available at the back of this document).

List of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION.....	5
1.1	WEST MIDLANDS REGIONAL LIFESTYLE SURVEY 2005.....	5
2	RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS.....	7
2.1	RESPONSE RATES AND RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS.....	7
3	RESULTS	10
3.1	ANALYSIS	10
3.2	URBAN AND RURAL RENAISSANCE	11
3.3	SUSTAINABILITY	15
3.4	WORLD CLASS REGIONAL ECONOMY	16

	Major Urban		Large Urban		Other Urban		Significantly Rural		Rural-50		Rural-80		WM Region	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Male	10,045	47.2%	3,003	45.3%	2,430	45.3%	4,824	45.9%	2,492	45.1%	2,523	47.8%	25,317	46.4%
Female	11,252	52.8%	3,619	54.7%	2,931	54.7%	5,675	54.1%	3,029	54.9%	2,752	52.2%	29,258	53.6%
Total	21,297	100.0%	6,622	100.0%	5,361	100.0%	10,499	100.0%	5,521	100.0%	5,275	100.0%	54,575	100.0%

Table 2: Respondents by gender

	Major Urban		Large Urban		Other Urban		Significantly Rural		Rural-50		Rural-80		WM Region	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
18-24	1,758	8.3%	504	7.6%	417	7.8%	678	6.5%	310	5.6%	273	5.2%	3,940	7.2%
25-34	2,766	13.0%	871	13.2%	808	15.1%	1,280	12.2%	557	10.1%	499	9.5%	6,781	12.4%
35-44	3,768	17.7%	1,193	18.0%	1,056	19.7%	1,904	18.1%	913	16.5%	872	16.5%	9,706	17.8%
45-54	3,854	18.1%	1,261	19.0%	1,047	19.5%	2,024	19.3%	1,184	21.4%	980	18.6%	10,350	19.0%
55-64	3,953	18.6%	1,281	19.3%	1,003	18.7%	2,209	21.0%	1,204	21.8%	1,159	22.0%	10,809	19.8%
65+	5,198	24.4%	1,512	22.8%	1,030	19.2%	2,404	22.9%	1,353	24.5%	1,492	28.3%	12,989	23.8%
All Ages	21,297	100.0%	6,622	100.0%	5,361	100.0%	10,499	100.0%	5,521	100.0%	5,275	100.0%	54,575	100.0%

Table 3: Respondents by 10-year age band

	Major Urban		Large Urban		Other Urban		Significantly Rural		Rural-50		Rural-80		WM Region	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
White	18,418	86.5%	6,172	93.2%	5,165	96.3%	10,236	97.5%	5,452	98.8%	5,215	98.9%	50,658	92.8%
Mixed	190	0.9%	43	0.6%	35	0.7%	35	0.3%	17	0.3%	16	0.3%	336	0.6%
Black or Black British	657	3.1%	76	1.1%	42	0.8%	56	0.5%	24	0.4%	20	0.4%	875	1.6%
Asian or Asian British	1,889	8.9%	308	4.7%	105	2.0%	142	1.4%	17	0.3%	17	0.3%	2,478	4.5%
Chinese or Other	143	0.7%	23	0.3%	14	0.3%	30	0.3%	11	0.2%	7	0.1%	228	0.4%
All Groups	21,297	100.0%	6,622	100.0%	5,361	100.0%	10,499	100.0%	5,521	100.0%	5,275	100.0%	54,575	100.0%


Table 4: Respondents by Ethnicity

	Major Urban		Large Urban		Other Urban		Significantly Rural		Rural-50		Rural-80		WM Region	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
IMD Decile 1	3,533	16.6%	965	14.6%	123	2.3%	71	0.7%	8	0.1%	0	0.0%	4,700	8.6%
IMD Decile 2	3,318	15.6%	957	14.5%	642	12.0%	308	2.9%	68	1.2%	19	0.4%	5,312	9.7%
IMD Decile 3	2,588	12.2%	785	11.9%	567	10.6%	572	5.4%	216	3.9%	92	1.7%	4,820	8.8%
IMD Decile 4	1,976	9.3%	802	12.1%	585	10.9%	849	8.1%	364	6.6%	226	4.3%	4,802	8.8%
IMD Decile 5	2,077	9.8%	891	13.5%	652	12.2%	904	8.6%	623	11.3%	771	14.6%	5,918	10.8%
IMD Decile 6	1,646	7.7%	650	9.8%	502	9.4%	1,256	12.0%	1,311	23.7%	766	14.5%	6,131	11.2%
IMD Decile 7	1,694	8.0%	563	8.5%	677	12.6%	1,623	15.5%	1,166	21.1%	865	16.4%	6,588	12.1%
IMD Decile 8	1,688	7.9%	520	7.9%	464	8.7%	1,653	15.7%	851	15.4%	1,008	19.1%	6,184	11.3%
IMD Decile 9	1,626	7.6%	329	5.0%	660	12.3%	1,681	16.0%	648	11.7%	834	15.8%	5,778	10.6%
IMD Decile 10	1,151	5.4%	160	2.4%	489	9.1%	1,582	15.1%	266	4.8%	694	13.2%	4,342	8.0%
Total	21,297	100.0%	6,622	100.0%	5,361	100.0%	10,499	100.0%	5,521	100.0%	5,275	100.0%	54,575	100.0%

Table 5: Respondents by IMD Decile⁵

⁵ For the Census 2001, the whole of England was divided into small areas called super output areas (SOAs) and for each of these areas an Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD 2004) was calculated based on a range of indicators of prosperity and well-being. Every area was then ordered on the basis of its IMD 2004 score and the list was then divided into tenths or deciles. The 10% with the highest IMD 2004 scores thus make up the most deprived decile (Decile 1 above), the next 10% the second most deprived decile and so on with the last 10% with the lowest IMD 2004 scores forming the least deprived decile (Decile 10 above).

Q14 – Have you been a victim of any of the following in the past year? (Top six reported)



**West Midlands
Regional Observatory**

Level L1
Millennium Point
Curzon Street
Birmingham B4 7XG

Tel: 0121 202 3250
Fax: 0121 202 3240
E-mail: enquiries@wmro.org
www.wmro.org

**West Midlands Public
Health Observatory**

Birmingham Research Park
Vincent Drive
Birmingham
B15 2SQ

Tel: 0121 414 8190
Fax: 0121 414 8195
www.wmpho.org.uk



EUROPEAN UNION
European Social Fund